BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10638716 0

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

LAW101H1 S LEC0101 20221: First Year Contract Law
2022 WINTER TERM
CASES AND COURSE MATERIALS

Volume I

Prof. Brian Langille

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
JAN 13 2022
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

These materials are reproduced solely for the use of students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

KE
850
.A7 L36
2022
v.1
c.1

LAW101H1 S LEC0101 20221: First Year Contract Law
2022 WINTER TERM
CASES AND COURSE MATERIALS

Volume I

Prof. Brian Langille

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
JAN 13 2022
FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

These materials are reproduced solely for the use of students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto.

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2023 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/firstyearcontrac01lang

Volume I
Table of Contents

Session / Title	Page no.
Course Outline	1
1-1-1 Fuller & Perdue	8
1-1-5 Bowlay Logging	9
1-1-6 Acme Mills v Johnson	13
1-1-7 Bush v Canfield	16
1-1-10 Ruxley Electronics v Forsyth	19
1-3-22 Fidler v Sun Life	25
1-5-31 Posner	45
1-5-32 MacNeil	46
1-6-35 Atlantic Lottery v Babstock	49
1-6-36 Weinrib, "Punishment and Disgorgement as Contract Remedies"	146
1-6-37 Eisenberg, "The Bargain Principle and its Limits" (Part I)	195
2-1-41 John Newcomb Hohfeld (1913) 23 Yale L.J. 16	203
2-1-42 Hamer v Sidway	204
2-1-53 Ethiopian Orthodox v. Aga (SCC, 2021)	205
2-2-61 Bank of Nova Scotia v MacLennan	244
2-2-62 Hughes v Metropolitan Railway	246
2-2-72 Waltons Stores	251
3-1-81 Grant v Province of NB	261
3-1-83 Crystal Square Parking (SCC, 2020)	266
3-1-85 Wittgenstein	308
3-1-86 AWB Simpson, "Contracts for Cotton to Arrive: The Case of the Two Ships Peerless"	309
3-1-87 Chaffee	357
3-1-89 Mannai Investments v Eagle Star Life Insurance	362
3-1-91 Wastech Services v. Greater Vancouver Sewage (SCC, 2021)	370

CONTRACTS LAW
2022 Winter Term
Mondays & Wednesdays, 3:40-5:00 pm
and Fridays, 11:00-12:20 am

PROFESSOR BRIAN LANGILLE

Office: Jackman 454
Office Hours: Friday afternoon, by appointment
Tel.: 416 978 4907
E-mail: brian.langille@utoronto.ca

1. REMEDIES - *HOW* DOES THE LAW ENFORCE CONTRACTS?

1.	**Basic Ideas and Purposes**	
	1. Fuller & Perdue	cb 25 **and** Q
	2. Wertheim v Chicoutimi Pulp	cb 27
	3. Hawkins v McGee	cb 36
	4. Sale of Goods Act	cb 45
	5. Bowlay Logging	cb 35 **and** Q
	6. Acme Mills v Johnson	Q
	7. Bush v Canfield	Q
	8. Anglia T.V. v Reed	cb 32
	9. Ogus	cb 34
	10. Ruxley Electronics v Forsyth	Q
	11. Moses v MacFerlan	cb 149
	12. Deglman v Guaranty Trust	cb 145
2.	**"Remoteness"/"Forseeability"**	
	13. BC Saw Mill v Nettleship	cb 48
	14. Hadley v Baxendale	cb 49
	15. Horne v Midland Railway	cb 53
	16. Victoria Laundry	cb 54
	17. Munroe Equipment Sales	cb 58
	18. Koufos v Czarnikow (The Heron II)	cb 64
	19. Transfield Shipping	cb 66
	20. Cornwall Gravel v Purolator	cb 74
3.	**Intangible Injuries**	
	21. Jarvis v Swan Tours	cb 80
	22. Fidler v Sun Life	Q

4. Mitigation

23. Payzu v Saunders	cb 102
24. White & Carter (Councils) v McGregor	cb 106
25. Finelli v Dee	cb 113

5. Specific Performance

26. Falcke v Gray	cb 116
27. Sky Petroleum	cb 119
28. Behnke v Bede Shipping	cb 127
29. Gilbert v Barron	cb 121
30. Co-operative Insurance v Argyll Stores (H.L.)	cb 128
31. Posner	Q
32. MacNeil	Q

6. A Summing Up

33. Whiten v Pilot Insurance	cb 89
34. AG v Blake	cb 151
35. Atlantic Lottery v Babstock	Q
36. Weinrib, "Punishment and Disgorgement as Contract Remedies"	Q
37. Eisenberg, "The Bargain Principle and its Limits" (Part I)	Q

2. *WHY* DOES THE LAW ENFORCE CONTRACTS?

1. Consideration and "Bargain Theory"

38. Dalhousie College	cb 276
39. A series of brief quotations	cb 239-40
40. White v Bluett	cb 258
41. John Newcomb Hohfeld (1913) 23 Yale L.J. 16	Q
42. Hamer v Sidway	Q and cb 242
43. Allegheny College v Jamestown	cb 243
44. Thomas v Thomas	cb 243

"mutual promises"

45. GNR v Witham	cb 245
46. Tobias v D. & T. Eaton Co.	cb 248
47. Wood v Lucy, Lady Duff-Gordon	cb 249

"past-consideration"

48. Roscorla v Thomas	cb 296
49. Webb v McGowin (note)	cb 296
50. Lampleigh v Brathwaith	cb 295

"intention"

51. Balfour v Balfour — cb 280
52. Jones v Padavatton — cb 284
53. Ethiopian Orthodox v. Aga (SCC, 2021) — Q

"compromises"

54. Cook v Wright — cb 272
55. Fairgrief v Ellis — cb 274

2. Reliance

56. Harris v Watson — cb 250
57. Stilk v Myrick — cb 251
58. Smith v Dawson — cb 2253
59. Gilbert Steel — cb 2255
60. Foakes v Beer and notes — cb 2268
61. Bank of Nova Scotia v MacLennan — Q
62. Hughes v Metropolitan Railway — Q
63. High Trees — cb 303
64. Combe v Combe — cb 305
65. Raggow v Scougall — cb 254
66. D&C Builders — cb 316
67. John Burrows v SubSurface Surveys — cb 309
68. Owen Sound Public Library v Mial — cb 311
69. Williams v Roffey Bros — cb 258
70. Crabb v Arun District Council — cb 321
71. Re Read Restatement s. 90 — cb 353
72. Walton Stores — Q
73. Greater Fredericton Airport Authority v NAVCAN — cb 260
74. River Wind v BC — cb 265
75. Cowper-Smith v Morgan (SCC, 2017) — cb 327

Unilateral contracts

76. Carlill v Carbolic Smoke Ball — cb 340
77. Errington v Errington — cb 349
78. Dawson v Helicopter Co. — cb 350

3. The Seal

79. Casebook 289-294 — cb 289-294

3. *HOW* ARE CONTRACTS MADE?

1. Contract Formation – The Objective Theory

80. Smith v Hughes — cb 757
81. Grant v Province of NB — Q
82. Hobbs v Esquimalt — cb 749
83. Crystal Square Parking (SCC, 2020) — Q

	84. Raffles v Wichelhaus	cb 751
	85. Wittgenstein	Q
	86. AWB Simpson, "Contracts for Cotton to Arrive: The Case of the Two Ships Peerless"	Q
	87. Chaffee	Q
	88. Staiman Steel v Commercial and Home Buildings	cb 753
	89. Mannai Investments v Eagle Star Life Insurance	Q
	90. Greenberg v Meffert	cb 218
	91. Wastech Services v. Greater Vancouver Sewage (SCC, 2021)	Q
2.	**The "Ticket Cases" and more**	
	92. Parker v The South Eastern Railway Company	cb 443
	93. Thornton v Shoe Lane Parking Ltd.	cb 453
	94. British Crane Hire Corp. Ltd. v Ipswich Plant Hire Ltd (Denning only)	cb 4565
	95. McCutcheon v MacBrayne	cb 449
	96. Tilden Rent a Car	cb 476
3.	**Contract Formation: The Rules of Offer and Acceptance**	
	97. Corbin excerpt	cb 164
	98. Boots Cash Chemists	cb 173
	99. Fisher v Bell	cb 174
	100. Harvey v Facey	cb 170
	101. Denton v GNR	cb 164
	102. Shatford v BC Wine Growers	cb 178
	103. Dickinson v Dodds	cb 182
	104. Hyde v Wrench	cb 189
	105. Tinn v Hoffman (note)	cb 192
4.	**The Battle of the Forms**	
	106. Butler Machine Tool	cb 190
5.	**Contracts by Computer**	
	107. Century 21 v Rogers	Q
6.	**Tendering Processes – and "Good Faith" in Negotiations**	
	108. MJB Enterprises	cb 197
	109. Brewer v Chrysler	Q
	110. Brewer Street Investments	Q
7.	**Formalization and Certainty**	
	111. Bristol, Cardiff, and Swansea Aërated Bread Company v Maggs	cb 193
	112. Empress Towers v Bank of Nova Scotia	cb 215
8.	**Third Parties**	
	113. Beswick v Beswick	cb 356

114. The Satanita cb 366
115. Jackson v Horizon Holidays Ltd. cb 371
116. New Zealand Shipping Co. Ltd. v A.M. Satterthwaite cb 375
117. Greenwood Shopping Plaza (note) cb 380
118. London Drugs Ltd. v Kuehne & Nagel International Ltd. cb 382
119. Fraser River Pile and Dredge Ltd. v Can-Dive Services Ltd. cb 388

9. Mistake of Identity

120. Lewis v Averay cb 418

10. Documents Mistakenly Signed

121. Marvco Color Research Ltd. v Harris et al. cb 436

11. The Parol Evidence Rule

122. Hawrish v Bank of Montreal cb 470
123. The Parol Evidence Rule, pp 1-4 Q
124. Gallen v Allstate Grain Co. Ltd. cb 483

12. Rectification

125. Fairmont Hotels cb 500

4. PERFORMANCE – BREACH and GOOD FAITH

126. Hong Kong Fir Q
127. Bhasin v Hrynew (SCC, 2014) cb 698
128. Callow v. Zollinger (SCC, 2021) Q
129. Re-read Atlantic Lottery v. Babstock, #35 above, para 65 onwards

5. WHY DOES THE LAW NOT ENFORCE CONTRACTS?

Introduction: Eisenberg "The Bargain principle and Its Limits" Q

1. Misrepresentation

130. Farah v Barki cb 467
131. City of Westminster v Mudd (note) cb 475
132. Long v Smith cb 475
133. Heilbut, Symons & Co. v Buckleton cb 717
134. Bentley (Dick) Productions Ltd. v Smith (Harold) (Motors) Ltd. cb 722
135. Redgrave v Hurd cb 236
136. Murray v Sperry Rand Corporation cb 735
137. Shanklin Pier v Detel Products Q
138. Hedley Byrne & Co. Ltd. v Heller & Partners Ltd. cb 301 and 739
139. Budhai v Ontario Lottery Commission Q
140. Esso Petroleum Co. Ltd. v Mardon cb 743
141. Oz Optics Q

2. Mistake

142. Bell v Lever Brothers Ltd.	cb 769
143. Solle v Butcher	cb 775
144. Great Peace Shipping Ltd. v Tsavliris Salvage (International) Ltd.	cb 780
145. Miller Paving	Q
146. Magee v Pennine Insurance Co.	cb 777
147. Sherwood v Walker	cb 790
148. McRae v Commonwealth Disposals Comm.	cb 793
149. Laidlaw v Organ	cb 767

3. Frustration

150. Paradine v Jane	cb 817
151. Taylor v Caldwell	cb 818
152. Howell v Coupland	cb 830
153. Krell v Henry	cb 835
154. Davis Contractors Ltd. v Fareham Urban District Council	cb 856
155. Fibrosa	cb 862
156. Canadian Industrial Alcohol Company, Ltd. v Dunbar Molasses Company	cb 832
157. Frustrated Contracts Act	cb 867

4. Penalty Clauses

158. Shatilla v Feinstein	cb 5
159. H.F. Clarke Ltd. v Thermidaire Corp. Ltd.	cb 518
160. Stockloser v Johnson	cb 518
161. Redstone Enterprises v. Simple Technology	Q

5. Exclusion Clauses ("Fundamental Breach")

162. George Mitchell Ltd. v Finney Lock Seeds Ltd.	cb 523
163. Hunter Engineering Co. Inc. et al. v Syncrude et al.	cb 528
164. Tercon Contractors v BC	cb 538

6. Unconscionability, Undue Influence, Duress

165. Michael J. Trebilcock, The Limits of Freedom of Contract	cb 551
166. Arthur A. Leff, "Unconscionability and the Code – The Emperor's New Clause"	cb 554
167. The Port Caledonia and the Anna	Q
168. Post et al. v Jones et al.	cb 562
169. Credit Lyonnais v Burch	cb 579
170. Lloyds Bank Limited v Bundy	cb 574
171. Macaulay v A. Schroeder Music Publishing Co. Ltd.	cb 599
172. Pridmore v Calvert	cb 602

173.	Harry v Tate	cb 604
174.	Woods v Hubley	cb 608
175.	Davidson v Three Spruces Realty	cb 532
176.	Uber v Heller (SCC, 2020)	Q

7. Public Policy/Illegality

177.	Shafron v KRG	cb 632
178.	Christie v York	Q
179.	Riggs v Palmer	Q
180.	Holman v Johnson	cb 626
181.	Kingshot v Brunskill	cb 646
182.	Still v The Minister of National Revenue	cb 670
183.	Patel v Mirza	Q

8. A Last Case

184.	TBA	TBA